



28/11/2011 17:00

Please respond to

To "patent_review@citb.gov.hk" <patent_review@citb.gov.hk>

cc

bcc

Subject review of patent system in Hong Kong

 Urgent Return receipt Sign Encrypt

Dear sirs / madam,

In respect of the captioned matter, I comment that:

1. no changes should be made to the current status and procedure are required.
2. Standard Patent system and Short-term patent System should remain the same.
3. The reason is that the applications filed during the past 5 years are still on the low side and the cost outweighs the benefit of setting up a separate body or examination mechanism to deal with these.
4. As there is no complaint against the agency service, no regulatory regime is required. If the standard is not met or there is any negligence claim, the market or customers will deal with it reasonably. In case it is very serious, the aggrieved party may resolve the matter via the court procedure to claim for its loss and damages.

In other words, I suggest no change to the current scheme and systems by maintaining the status quo.

Thank you for your attention.

regards,

(no publication of my data is demanded)